



V.E. MATEU MARTIN Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

141: LING 1 DIS 3H: INTR-STUDY-LANGUAGE

No. of responses = 5


Enrollment = 17

Response Rate = 29.41%


Survey Results

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:




1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.55
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.55
2.3)	Organization - Written and video presentations were well prepared and organized.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.55
2.4)	Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=0.45
2.5)	Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help from the teaching assistant.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.55
2.6)	Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.6 md=4 dev.=0.55
2.7)	Discussion Board - The T.A.'s questions and comments on the discussion board were valuable.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.58 ab.=2
2.8)	Discussion Board - The T.A. was an active and consistent presence on the discussion board.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.58 ab.=2
2.9)	Live Discussions - The T.A. effectively led the live online discussions.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58 ab.=1
2.10)	Value - The overall value of the T.A.'s contribution justified your time and effort.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.55
2.11)	Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.55
2.13)	Discussion board		Poor - Excellent	n=3 av.=3.67 md=3 dev.=1.15 ab.=1

2.14) T.A. weekly blog



n=2
 av.=4
 md=4
 dev.=1.41
 ab.=2

2.15) T.A. webcam video



n=3
 av.=4.67
 md=5
 dev.=0.58
 ab.=2

2.16) Live online interactions



n=4
 av.=4.5
 md=4.5
 dev.=0.58
 ab.=1

2.17) T.A. office hours




n=2
 av.=4.5
 md=4.5
 dev.=0.71
 ab.=2

Profile

Subunit: LING
 Name of the instructor: V.E. MATEU MARTIN
 Name of the course: 141: LING 1 DIS 3H: INTR-STUDY-LANGUAGE
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):



Comments Report

2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):

^{2.12)} Please provide additional comments about your Teaching Assistant.

- Victoria seems very interested in helping her students to understand every single topic. Because of this, she spends a lot of time in the first lecture (about 1hr) and the last 30 minutes are devoted to the second lecture.. but shes a very good TA.. just has to make sure to spend the same amount of time for each lecture.

^{2.18)} Please provide additional comments about these applications.

- Love the video chat, however, the "full screen" option should be showing the power point presentation, not the TA... that's the only thing I didn't like.

^{2.19)} Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- She's very knowledgable... too concerned about her students' learning.