




V.E. MATEU MARTIN Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

131: LING 1 DIS 3I: INTR-STUDY-LANGUAGE
 No. of responses = 4
 Enrollment = 12
 Response Rate = 33.33%


Survey Results

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):


2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.58 ab.=1
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=3.67 md=4 dev.=0.58 ab.=1
2.3)	Organization - Written and video presentations were well prepared and organized.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=1 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=3
2.4)	Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.41 ab.=2
2.5)	Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help from the teaching assistant.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0 ab.=2
2.6)	Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=1.53 ab.=1
2.7)	Discussion Board - The T.A.'s questions and comments on the discussion board were valuable.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.58 ab.=1
2.8)	Discussion Board - The T.A. was an active and consistent presence on the discussion board.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=2.33 md=2 dev.=0.58 ab.=1
2.9)	Live Discussions - The T.A. effectively led the live online discussions.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.41 ab.=2
2.10)	Value - The overall value of the T.A.'s contribution justified your time and effort.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=3 md=4 dev.=1.73 ab.=1
2.11)	Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=1.53 ab.=1
2.13)	Discussion board		Poor - Excellent	n=3 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1 ab.=1

2.14) T.A. weekly blog




n=3
 av.=4.33
 md=4
 dev.=0.58
 ab.=1

2.15) T.A. webcam video




n=2
 av.=4
 md=4
 dev.=1.41
 ab.=2

2.16) Live online interactions



n=2
 av.=4
 md=4
 dev.=1.41
 ab.=2

2.17) T.A. office hours




n=3
 av.=4
 md=4
 dev.=1
 ab.=1

Profile

Subunit: LING
 Name of the instructor: V.E. MATEU MARTIN
 Name of the course: 131: LING 1 DIS 3I: INTR-STUDY-LANGUAGE
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):



Comments Report

2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):

^{2.12)} Please provide additional comments about your Teaching Assistant.

- In my opinion, absolutely no effort was made by Victoria towards my learning. I had absolutely no interaction with Victoria throughout the course.
- Victoria was very available, friendly, and helpful when I was writing the term paper, although the course was straightforward enough that I didn't bother attending the review sessions.