




V.E. MATEU MARTIN
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 17F: LING C135 DIS 1A: NEUROLINGUISTICS
 No. of responses = 6
 Enrollment = 22
 Response Rate = 27.27%


Survey Results

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Instructor Concern – The instructor was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6	Very High or Always	n=6 av.=9 md=9 dev.=0
2.2)	Organization – Class presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5	Very High or Always	n=6 av.=8.83 md=9 dev.=0.41
2.3)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 4	Very High or Always	n=6 av.=8.67 md=9 dev.=0.52
2.4)	Communication Skills – The instructor had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 4	Very High or Always	n=6 av.=8.67 md=9 dev.=0.52
2.5)	Value – You have learned something you consider valuable.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 3	Very High or Always	n=6 av.=8.17 md=8.5 dev.=1.17
2.6)	Overall – Your overall rating of the instructor.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2 3	Very High or Always	n=6 av.=8 md=8.5 dev.=1.55
2.7)	Overall – Your overall rating of the course.	Very Low or Never	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 3	Very High or Always	n=6 av.=7.67 md=8.5 dev.=2.34

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

3.1)	Subject interest before course	Low	0 2 4	High	n=6 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.52
3.2)	Subject interest after course	Low	0 2 4	High	n=6 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.52
3.3)	Mastery of course material	Low	4 2	High	n=6 av.=2.33 md=2 dev.=0.52
3.4)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	5 1	High	n=6 av.=2.17 md=2 dev.=0.41
3.5)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow	6 0	Too Much	n=6 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0
3.6)	Texts, required readings	Poor	4 2	Excellent	n=6 av.=2.33 md=2 dev.=0.52


3.7)	Homework assignments	<p>Poor 0 5 1 Excellent 1 2 3</p>	<p>n=6 av.=2.17 md=2 dev.=0.41</p>
3.8)	Graded materials, examinations	<p>Poor 1 3 2 Excellent 1 2 3</p>	<p>n=6 av.=2.17 md=2 dev.=0.75</p>
3.9)	Lecture presentations	<p>Poor 1 3 2 Excellent 1 2 3</p>	<p>n=6 av.=2.17 md=2 dev.=0.75</p>
3.10)	Class discussions	<p>Poor 0 4 2 Excellent 1 2 3</p>	<p>n=6 av.=2.33 md=2 dev.=0.52</p>

Profile


Subunit: LING
 Name of the instructor: V.E. MATEU MARTIN
 Name of the course: 17F: LING C135 DIS 1A: NEUROLINGUISTICS
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



3. Your View of Course Characteristics:



Comments Report

4. Comments:

- ^{4.1)} Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.
- Again, she just read off of her lecture notes word for word. Nothing good or bad to say.
 - The discussion section materials were essential for the final project. She made good use of the discussion section time, but sometimes it felt the materials were a lot to cover during the one-hour period. It was also oftentimes easy to get bored because it was a lot of materials to learn during the short period.
 - went over a lot of stuff from lecture which was helpful because sometimes there wasn't always enough time in class. great discussions.