



V.E. MATEU MARTIN Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

131: LING 1 DIS 3G: INTR-STUDY-LANGUAGE

No. of responses = 4


Enrollment = 15

Response Rate = 26.67%


Survey Results

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:




1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):


2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 2 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 2 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58
2.3)	Organization - Written and video presentations were well prepared and organized.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 2 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58
2.4)	Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 2 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58
2.5)	Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help from the teaching assistant.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 2 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58
2.6)	Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 2 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58
2.7)	Discussion Board - The T.A.'s questions and comments on the discussion board were valuable.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 0 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0 ab.=2
2.8)	Discussion Board - The T.A. was an active and consistent presence on the discussion board.	Disagree Strongly - 0 1 2 0 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=0.58 ab.=1
2.9)	Live Discussions - The T.A. effectively led the live online discussions.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 1 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=3 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.58 ab.=1
2.10)	Value - The overall value of the T.A.'s contribution justified your time and effort.	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 2 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58
2.11)	Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Disagree Strongly - 0 0 2 2 Agree Strongly - 1 2 3 4	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58
2.13)	Discussion board	Poor - 1 0 1 1 0 Excellent 1 2 3 4 5	n=3 av.=2.67 md=3 dev.=1.53 ab.=1

2.14) T.A. weekly blog




n=4
 av.=3.75
 md=3.5
 dev.=0.96

2.15) T.A. webcam video




n=3
 av.=3.67
 md=3
 dev.=1.15
 ab.=1

2.16) Live online interactions



n=3
 av.=4
 md=4
 dev.=1
 ab.=1

2.17) T.A. office hours




n=3
 av.=4
 md=4
 dev.=1
 ab.=1

Profile

Subunit: LING
 Name of the instructor: V.E. MATEU MARTIN
 Name of the course: 131: LING 1 DIS 3G: INTR-STUDY-LANGUAGE
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):



Comments Report

2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):

^{2.12)} Please provide additional comments about your Teaching Assistant.

- I thought that Victoria was great TA! She would always help when people asked her questions, though not many showed up to the discussions sadly. I think this class should utilize the discussion board more. I think there were people who posted questions on the discussion board, but Victoria did not see or notice them! Otherwise, everything else was great! Thank for being such a great TA and making linguistics easier for me and other students!!!!

^{2.18)} Please provide additional comments about these applications.

- Like I said! I think that the discussion board should be used more in the future classes and that would help students participate! Additionally I think that discussions should be MANDATORY for all students!

^{2.19)} Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- Strengths - Friendly, knowledgeable, helpful, overall a great TA!
Weaknesses - No complaints here!!