



V.E. MATEU MARTIN
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

16W: LING 130 DIS 1A: LANGUAGE DEVELOPMNT

No. of responses = 15


Enrollment = 20

Response Rate = 75%


Survey Results

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge – The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 14	Very High or Always	n=15 av.=8.93 md=9 dev.=0.26
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern – The T. A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 13	Very High or Always	n=15 av.=8.87 md=9 dev.=0.35
2.3)	Organization – Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 3 11	Very High or Always	n=15 av.=8.6 md=9 dev.=0.83
2.4)	Scope – The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 5 9	Very High or Always	n=15 av.=8.4 md=9 dev.=1.06
2.5)	Interaction – Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 14	Very High or Always	n=15 av.=8.93 md=9 dev.=0.26
2.6)	Communication Skills – The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 14	Very High or Always	n=15 av.=8.93 md=9 dev.=0.26
2.7)	Value – The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 3 11	Very High or Always	n=15 av.=8.67 md=9 dev.=0.62
2.8)	Overall – What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 13	Very High or Always	n=15 av.=8.87 md=9 dev.=0.35

3. Your View of Section Characteristics:


3.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	0 13 2	High	n=15 av.=2.13 md=2 dev.=0.35
3.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow	0 13 2	Too Much	n=15 av.=2.13 md=2 dev.=0.35
3.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor	0 11 4	Excellent	n=15 av.=2.27 md=2 dev.=0.46
3.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor	0 10 2	Excellent	n=12 av.=2.17 md=2 dev.=0.39 ab.=3
3.5)	Homework assignments	Poor	0 12 3	Excellent	n=15 av.=2.2 md=2 dev.=0.41

3.6) Graded materials, examinations




n=15
 av.=2.2
 md=2
 dev.=0.56

3.7) Lecture presentations



n=15
 av.=2.53
 md=3
 dev.=0.52

3.8) Class discussions




n=15
 av.=2.4
 md=2
 dev.=0.51

Profile


Subunit: LING
 Name of the instructor: V.E. MATEU MARTIN
 Name of the course: 16W: LING 130 DIS 1A: LANGUAGE DEVELOPMNT
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



3. Your View of Section Characteristics:



Comments Report

4. Comments:

4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- I thought the sections were just okay, but that's partly because of the way the class is structured (and not because of you). Most of the sections were just going over assignments (either instructions or review), but it was kind of necessary. I would have liked more coverage of lecture material in section, even if it was brief.

That said, outside of section I think you are probably the best and most helpful TA I've ever had. Every TA that I've had in the Linguistics department has been great, but I think you've set the bar really high. You knew the subject really well, and you were both super approachable and incredibly nice. You repeatedly helped me and my partner with our project, and every time you did so it was great advice. Often times, you e-mailed us about a great resource without us asking. I would absolutely recommend any class where you would be the TA (or instructor), regardless of class difficulty.

- One of the best TA's in UCLA. She is very helpful! Shows high concern for student and their project, and is very knowledgeable! Her explanations are always clear, and she made the class interesting. Wish the course was taught by the TA. Will make an amazing professor.
- Strengths: Communication was great, and knowledge of the course material was also great. Very helpful with our research project and cared about how well we mastered course material as well. Review session was especially well organized and targeted towards preparing us well for the test.
- The most helpful TA I've encountered so far at UCLA!
- Victoria is an amazing TA. She was always well prepared and was easy to approach with any questions or concerns. Victoria acknowledged all student opinions and answers.
- Victoria is very sweet and caring. Some TA can be really edgy but nope Victoria is a really nice TA. She cares about her students. She always has that smiley face :) in her email. She explains concepts and ideas of what we have to do very well, and I really like attending her section. She is encouraging and considerate that she never makes you feel bad about yourself or your homework. It would be great if she could remember students' names. That would make us feel more engaged to the class.
- Victoria was a truly outstanding TA. She was incredibly knowledgeable and taught so well, I was amazed. She always answered my emails promptly and she gave me such great insight. She was incredibly helpful and always took the time to explain even the smallest details to me. I would love to have her as a TA again. Thank you Victoria!
- great, always happy to help and expound on ideas, extremely knowledgeable