




V.E. MATEU MARTIN Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

141: LING 1 DIS 3I: INTR-STUDY-LANGUAGE
 No. of responses = 6
 Enrollment = 17
 Response Rate = 35.29%


Survey Results

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):


2.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=6 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.55
2.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=6 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.55
2.3)	Organization - Written and video presentations were well prepared and organized.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=4 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.58 ab.=2
2.4)	Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.2 md=3 dev.=0.45 ab.=1
2.5)	Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help from the teaching assistant.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.55 ab.=1
2.6)	Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=6 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.55
2.7)	Discussion Board - The T.A.'s questions and comments on the discussion board were valuable.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=3 av.=3.33 md=3 dev.=0.58 ab.=3
2.8)	Discussion Board - The T.A. was an active and consistent presence on the discussion board.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=2 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=0.71 ab.=4
2.9)	Live Discussions - The T.A. effectively led the live online discussions.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.55 ab.=1
2.10)	Value - The overall value of the T.A.'s contribution justified your time and effort.		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.55 ab.=1
2.11)	Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?		Disagree Strongly - Agree Strongly -	n=5 av.=3.4 md=3 dev.=0.55 ab.=1
2.13)	Discussion board		Poor - Excellent	n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=0 ab.=4

2.14) T.A. weekly blog



n=1
 av.=4
 md=4
 dev.=0
 ab.=5

2.15) T.A. webcam video




n=4
 av.=4.5
 md=4.5
 dev.=0.58
 ab.=2

2.16) Live online interactions



n=5
 av.=4.4
 md=4
 dev.=0.55
 ab.=1

2.17) T.A. office hours




n=4
 av.=4.25
 md=4
 dev.=0.5
 ab.=2

Profile

Subunit: LING
 Name of the instructor: V.E. MATEU MARTIN
 Name of the course: 141: LING 1 DIS 3I: INTR-STUDY-LANGUAGE
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):



Comments Report

2. Your Teaching Assistant (T.A.):

^{2.12)} Please provide additional comments about your Teaching Assistant.

- I did not attend my T.A.'s live sessions because they conflicted with my schedule. I did attend another T.A.'s live sessions, but I am not rating him here.
- Victoria went above and beyond the expectations of a TA. She stayed on the live chat well overtime because office hours do not exist and she did her best to answer all our questions.

^{2.18)} Please provide additional comments about these applications.

- The discussion sessions were very valuable.

^{2.19)} Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- Victoria was very concerned about our learning, she gave many tips and pointers on how to succeed in the class. She is very knowledgeable and made herself available to everyone who needed help.
- Victoria's strengths are that she is incredibly attentive to how students are doing in the course, very friendly to approach if any questions needed to be answered, and I could count on her that she would thoroughly explain a point that I was unclear with. She is an excellent TA, and really made this course exciting for me.